

KONE Monon et al, The Experiment, 2019, Vol 47 (3), 2671-2682

# ANTI-BACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF *GARCINIA KOLA* (*CLUSIACEAE*) SEEDS ON THE *IN VITRO* GROWTH OF BROAD SPECTRUM BETA LACTAMASE PRODUCING STRAINS

### Abstract:

Antibiotic resistance is nowadays the major concern of many clinicians. This phenomenon is at the origin of a therapeutic impasse with high rates of morbidity and mortality. This calls for alternative care alternatives. The present study was initiated with the aim of evaluating the antibacterial activity of the aqueous and ethanolic extract 70% of *Garcinia kola* seeds on the *in vitro* growth of  $\beta$ -lactamase producing strains. The methodology consisted of extracting the drugs with a 70% hydroalcoholic solvent and distilled water. The diffusion methods in agar medium and in liquid medium were used for the sensitivity test and the determination of the MIC and the CMB. Inhibition zone diameters varied from 10 to 15.66 mm, however, the MICs of the extracts ranged from 3.12 mg / mL to 25 mg / mL and the CMBs ranged from 6.25 mg / mL to 100 mg / mL. The lowest value of MIC and CMB was observed with *E. coli* ATCC 25922 while the largest value of these same parameters was obtained on *S. flexneri* and *S. typhi*. The ethanol extract 70% and the oxacillin (reference molecule) exerted a bactericidal action on all the strains whereas, the aqueous extract recorded a bactericidal activity on the strains of *E. coli* and a bacteriostatic activity on *S. flexneri* and *S. typhi*. In addition, the antibacterial activities of *Garcinia kola* seeds highlighted in this study justify their use in the treatment of various infectious diseases in traditional environment.

Key words: antibacterial activity, β-lactamase, Garcinia kola, enterobacteraiceae, CMI,CMB

### Introduction:

Antibiotics are one of the most effective forms of therapy in medicine. Their use has revolutionized the treatment of infectious diseases (Sylvie, 2009). This added value is due to several molecules but particularly to  $\beta$ -lactams. These molecules are widely used in medicine because of their broad spectrum of action, their low toxicity, their effectiveness, as well as their low cost for certain molecules (Livermore, 1995). Unfortunately, over the last 30 years, the effectiveness of  $\beta$ -lactams has been compromised by an increasing number of antibiotic-resistant pathogens (Jun et al 2015). Resistance to antibiotics and particularly to  $\beta$ -lactams has become a major concern for many clinicians. This phenomenon of resistance to conventional antibiotics is at the origin of a therapeutic impasse guaranteeing high rates of morbidity and mortality (Aboya 2013, Jun *et al* 2015). Indeed, bacteria have developed several mechanisms to counteract  $\beta$ -lactams (Pitout*et al.*, 2004). Many of these microorganisms are responsible for infectious diseases. This is the case of *Enterobacteriaceae* which are the subject of this study. These bacteria are frequently encountered in both normal and pathogenic flora. They are involved in gastroenteritis, urinary tract infections (Larabi*et al.*, 2003). In Côte d'Ivoire, according to the work of Guessennd*et al.* (2008), the prevalence of expanded-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase producing enterobacteria (ESBL) increased from 5.3% in 2005 to 16.8% in 2009. The



KONE Monon et al, The Experiment, 2019, Vol 47 (3), 2671-2682

emergence of these β-lactamase producing strains poses a real public health problem (Sylvie, 2009). This situation requires increased surveillance of pathogenic strains and to test new antibacterial molecules accessible to populations (Soro*et al.*, 2010). Among the routes explored is the use of medicinal plants. They are used by more than 80% of people in developing countries for their primary health care (Adama*et al.*, 2010). Several researchers have also shown the importance of bioactive compounds isolated from plants as well as their antimicrobial activity without major side effects in humans (Rocio *et al.*, 2007, Rath*et al* 2009). Thus, to treat various types of inflammation or cirrhosis of the liver, Ivorian populations use *Garcinia kola* seeds as a remedy (Onayade*et al.*, 1998). The ground and dried almonds of this plant can be mixed with honey to prepare a traditional paste against various symptoms and diseases, including coughs, diarrhea (Adebisi, 2004). The purpose of this study is to evaluate the antibacterial activity of *Garcinia kola* seeds on bacterial extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing strains.

### **Material and Methods:**

### **1.Biological material:**

- ✓ Plant material: The plant material used is the *Garcinia kola* seed harvested in ELIBOU
- ✓ Bacterial strains: The bacterial material consists of expanded spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase producing strains.

### 2. Methods:

### **2.1. Preparation of vegetable powders:**

The seeds of *G. kola* were harvested, washed, crushed and then dried in the dark at laboratory temperature (25-30  $^{\circ}$  C) for two weeks. After drying, they were sprayed with an electric grinder and the resulting powder was used to prepare the various plant extracts (aqueous and ethanoic).

### **2.2 Preparation of the aqueous extract:**

The total aqueous extract was prepared according to the method described by Ackah*et al.*, (2008). The powder (100g) of the seeds of this plant were macerated in 1000 mL of distilled water by homogenization using a Blender. The homogenate obtained is first filtered on white fabric and then filtered twice on hydrophilic cotton and once on Whatman n° 3 filter paper. The filtrate obtained was dehydrated with the aid of an oven at a temperature of 50 ° C. for 72 hours. A powder is then obtained which constitutes the total aqueous extract.

# 2.3. Preparation of the ethanol extract 70%:

The 70% hydroethanoic extract (30: 70, v / v) was also prepared according to the method described by Ackah*et al.*, (2008). One hundred grams (100 g) of vegetable powder were dissolved in 1000 ml of hydroalcoholic solvent by homogenization in a Blender. The homogenate obtained is first filtered on white fabric and then filtered twice on hydrophilic cotton and once on Whatman n° 3 filter paper. The filtrate obtained was dehydrated with the aid of an oven at a temperature of 50 ° C. for 48 hours. The powder obtained constitutes the total hydro-ethanoic extract 70%.

ISSN-2319-2119

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



KONE Monon et al, The Experiment, 2019, Vol 47 (3), 2671-2682

# 2.4 Yield:

Extraction yield is expressed as a percentage relative to the initial mass of the powder of the *G. kola* seed subjected to extraction. The percentage of the extraction yield is calculated according to the following formula:

Extraction yield (%) =

Mass of dried extract x100

# Mass of plant powderused

# 2.5 Preparation of bacterial inoculums:

The bacterial inoculum was prepared from an isolated 18-hour colony in 10 mL Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) and incubated for 3 to 5 hours at 37°C to obtain a pre-culture. A volume of 1 mL of the opalescent pre-culture broth of the bacteria was removed and then diluted in a tube containing 9 ml of saline (0.09% NaCl). This bacterial suspension is evaluated at about  $10^6$  cells/mL and constitutes the  $10^0$  dilution or pure inoculum.

# 2.6 Numeration of bacterial inoculums:

The bacterial inoculum was homogenized and diluted 10 to 10 until  $10^{-4}$ . Then, the bacterial inoculum at  $10^{0}$  and the 4 successive dilutions were inoculated with a calibrated ensemencer of  $2\mu$ L in Mueller Hinton agar plates, on streaks 5 cm long. This preparation constitutes the growth control box (denoted A).

# 2.7. Preparation of the concentration range:

They were prepared in test tubes (T) numbered from  $T_1$  to  $T_8$  by the double dilution method in liquid medium. This concentration range varies from 200 mg / mL to 3.12 mg / mL. To do this, 4 mL of sterile distilled water or 70% ethanol was put in the  $T_1$  tube, and 2 mL in all the other test tubes. An amount (0.8 g) of plant extract was dissolved in the  $T_1$  tube and then homogenized completely to give the concentration of 200 mg / mL. Half of the  $T_1$  tube contents (4 mL) were transferred to the  $T_2$  tube and then homogenized. This operation was repeated until tube  $T_8$ . Half of the contents of this last tube were rejected.

# 2.8. Antibacterial Activity:

# 2.8.1.Sensitivity test:

The Müeller-Hinton agar plate, dried for 15 minutes at room temperature in the laboratory, was uniformly seeded with the calibrated bacterial suspension. Wells about 6 mm in diameter are then dug into the agar using a sterile punch (Koffi*et al.*, 2014). In each well, 75µL of the substance to be tested is deposited therein. The latter diffuses with the creation of a concentration gradient after a contact time between the latter and the microorganism (Vinod *et al.*, 2010). The agar was subsequently incubated in an oven at 37 ° C for 18 to 24 hours. The MIC is the smallest concentration that described the smallest zone of inhibition.



KONE Monon et al, The Experiment, 2019, Vol 47 (3), 2671-2682

# 2.8.2. Determination of Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC):

The different ranges of plant extract concentrations to be tested were added to all seven experimental tubes according to (Koffi*et al.*, 2014). Thus, 1 ml of the highest concentration extract was transferred to tube  $T_1$ , that of the next concentration in tube  $T_2$ , and so on until the last concentration in tube  $T_{10}$ . This approach led to a dilution of half, the concentration of the contents of the tubes ( $T_1$  to  $T_{10}$ ). Also two tubes (Tc and Ts) were used. In the Tc tube, 1 mL of sterile distilled water was added, which served as a growth control tube, whereas 2 mL of the sterile physiological saline (NaCl 0.09%) was put in the tube Ts, serving as sterility control tube. All of these tubes were incubated at 37 ° C for 24 hours. The MIC therefore corresponds to the concentration of the first tube from which no disturbance is observed with the naked eye.

### 2.8.3. Determination of Minimal Bactericidal Concentration (CMB):

Using a ensemencer calibrated at 2  $\mu$ L, the contents of the tubes in which no trouble was observed, were transplanted to a new GMH without antimicrobial (noted Box B). Transplanting is done by the 5cm long parallel streak method, starting with the MIC tube. After 24 hours incubation in an oven at 37 ° C, the number of colonies on the streaks of the box B with that of the box A is compared. The CMB is equal to the lowest concentration of box B whose colony number is less than or equal to that of the 10<sup>-4</sup> dilution of box A.

### 2.9. Statistical Analysis:

The data are presented on average  $\pm$  SEM. All data were analyzed by unidirectional ANOVA and the differences between the means were evaluated using the Neuman-Keuls multiple comparison tests. The differences were considered significant at p <0.05. All operations were repeated 3 times and analyzes were performed using the Graph Pad software, version 5.01 (USA).

### **3.Results and Discussions:**

# **3.1.Plant extraction yield:**

Extraction yields, aspects and colors of the various extracts are shown in Table I. Yields varied between 6.36% and 7.00% per 100 g of G. kola seed powder. The highest yield (7.00%) was obtained with 70% hydroethanolic extract (EE70%), while the lowest yield (6.36%) was obtained with the total aqueous extract (ETA). This would mean that the chemical groups present in the *Garcinia kola* seed have more affinity for the water-ethanol binary solvent compared to water alone. Similar results were obtained by Bagréet al. (2007) and Touréet al. (2011) during the extraction of *Morindamorindoides* Baker (*Rubiaceae*). However, the extraction yield (6.36%) obtained with distilled water in this study is less than 8.8% reported by Yétéet al. (2015) during the aqueous extraction of *G. kola* seeds. This observed variation in yield could be related to several parameters. Indeed, some authors have reported that the extraction yield may depend on the time of harvest of the plant, the age of the plant, the drying procedure, the solvent, the pH, the temperature, the extraction time and sample composition (Quyet al., 2014). These factors would justify the variation in extraction yield found between two seeds of the same plant species.





Table I: Yield and appearance of G. kola seed extracts

Vegetal	Extract	Aspect	yield (%)
	Aqueous (ETA)	Brown	6,36
Seeds	Hydro-éthanolic70% (EE <sub>70%</sub> )	Brown	7,00

ETA : Total AqueousExtract ; EE70 % : hydro-EthanolicExtract 70 %

### 3.2. Sensitivity of the studied bacterial strains:

Tables II, III and IV summarize the diameters of the zones of inhibition of ETA, EE70% of G. kola and oxacillin respectively obtained on the *in vitro* growth of the bacterial strains studied. At concentrations ranging from 1.56 mg / mL to 12.5 mg / mL, ETA induced no inhibition diameter (0 mm) on the strains tested (Table II). Only concentrations between 25 mg / mL and 200 mg / mL inhibited the growth of strains with inhibition diameters ranging from 10 mm to 15.66 mm. With regard to Table III, results similar to those in Table II were obtained with the only difference that, unlike Table II, the concentration range which induced inhibitory effects on the growth of  $\beta$ -lactamases is wider ranging from 6.25 mg / mL to 200 mg / mL. This indicates that the strains studied were sensitive to the action of EE70% at lower concentrations than those of ETA. This would mean that  $\beta$ -lactamase strains were more sensitive to EE70% action than ETA. EE70% was therefore be more active than ETA. These results are in agreement with those of many authors who during their work indicated that ethanol concentrates the active principles of medicinal plants better than distilled water (Akinyemi&Ogundare (2014), Oluremiet al., (2010). As for the results of the usual antibiotic (Table IV) used in this study as a positive control, the inhibition diameters ranged from 16 to 36 mm for a range of concentrations ranging from 0.19 to 6.25 mg / mL. The strains studied were very sensitive to the effect of this molecule. Of very low concentrations less than 0.09 mg / mL, oxacillin does not induce an effect on the growth of strains of the test. thus suggesting that  $\beta$ -lactamase strains are resistant to the action of oxacillin at very low concentrations. The relationship between the tested concentrations and the antibacterial effect show a dose-dependent activity. The dose-dependent effect is also observed with the standard antibiotic All of the ESBL and reference strains (ATCC) tested were sensitive to the action of the aqueous and ethanolicG. kola extracts in a dose-response relationship. A gradual increase in the inhibition zone is observed as the concentration of the extracts increases. Similar results were obtained by Konan et al. (2014) in the study of *Terminalia glaucescens* on strains producing ESBL. In general, at the concentration of 200 mg / mL, ETA and EE70% induced inhibition zone diameters with an average of 15 mm on the growth of the strains studied. However, oxacillin recorded an average of 33.6 mm, twice as much as that of crude vegetable extracts. These results translate according to Ponce et al. (2003) that the organisms studied are very sensitive to the action of ETA, EE70% and oxacillin. The difference observed between the inhibition diameters of the crude vegetable extracts and the reference molecule could be explained by the difference in the purity of the extracts.







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

					1			· /
	Concentrations (mg/mL)							
Bacterial strains								
	200	100	50	25	12,5	6,25	3,12	1,56
						_	-	
E. coli 25922	15,33	13,66	12,66	11,33	0	0	0	0
	±0,57 <sup>a</sup>	±0,57 <sup>a</sup>	±0,57 <sup>a</sup>	±0,57 <sup>a</sup>				
<i>E. coli</i> 205C/19	13,66	12,33	11,66	10,33	0	0	0	0
	$\pm 0,57^{b}$	±0,15 <sup>b</sup>	±0,52 <sup>b</sup>	±0,57 <sup>a</sup>				
E. Coli 206C/19	14,66	13,33	11,66	10,33	0	0	0	0
	±0,57°	$\pm 0,57^{b}$	$\pm 0,57^{b}$	$\pm 0,57^{b}$				
S. flexneri	14,33	12,66	10,33	08,33	0	0	0	0
	±0,62 <sup>b</sup>	±0,51 <sup>b</sup>	±0,47 <sup>b</sup>	±0,37 <sup>b</sup>				
S. typhi	13,66	12,33	11,33	09,33	0	0	0	0
	±0,25 <sup>a</sup>	±0,57 <sup>b</sup>	±0,72 <sup>b</sup>	±0,57 <sup>b</sup>				

Table II: Diameters (mm) of the zones of inhibition obtained with the aqueous total extract (ETA)

Averages are expressed with standard deviations ( $\pm$ ), values with different letters in the columns are statistically different at p <0.05

Concentrations (mg/mL)								
Bacterial strains								
	200	100	50	25	12,5	6,25	3,12	1,56
E. coli 25922	16,33	13 ,66	12,66	11,33	10,66	10,33	0	0
	±0,57 <sup>a</sup>							
<i>E. coli</i> 205C/19	16,66	13,33	11,66	11,33	10,66	10,33	0	0
	$\pm 0,57^{b}$	$\pm 0,15^{b}$	$\pm 0,52^{b}$	$\pm 0,57^{a}$	$\pm 0,52^{b}$	$\pm 0,57^{a}$		
<i>E. Coli</i> 206C/19	14,66	13 ,33	12,66	11,33	10,66	09,33	0	0
	±0,57°	$\pm 0,57^{b}$						
S. flexneri	14,33	13,66	12,33	11,33	10,33	09,33	0	0
	$\pm 0,62^{b}$	$\pm 0,51^{b}$	±0,47 <sup>b</sup>	±0,37 <sup>b</sup>	±0,47 <sup>b</sup>	±0,37 <sup>b</sup>		
S. typhi	15,66	14,33	12,33	10,33	09,33	08,33	0	0
	±0,25 <sup>a</sup>	$\pm 0,57^{b}$	±0,72 <sup>b</sup>	$\pm 0,57^{b}$	±0,72 <sup>b</sup>	$\pm 0,57^{b}$		

Table III: Diameters (mm) of the zones of inhibition obtained with the hydro-ethanol extract 70% (EE70%)

Averages are expressed with standard deviations ( $\pm$ ), values with different letters in the columns are statistically different at p <0.05



KONE Monon et al, The Experiment, 2019, Vol 47 (3), 2671-2682

Concentrations (mg/mL)								
6,25	3,12	1,56	0,78	0,39	0,19	0,09	0,05	
33 <sup>a</sup>	30 <sup>a</sup>	28 <sup>a</sup>	24 <sup>a</sup>	21 <sup>a</sup>	18 <sup>a</sup>	0	0	
36 <sup>b</sup>	33 <sup>b</sup>	29 <sup>b</sup>	25 <sup>b</sup>	22 <sup>b</sup>	16 <sup>b</sup>	0	0	
34 <sup>c</sup>	31 <sup>c</sup>	27°	24 <sup>a</sup>	20 <sup>c</sup>	20 <sup>c</sup>	0	0	
32 <sup>c</sup>	30 <sup>c</sup>	28 <sup>a</sup>	24 <sup>a</sup>	20 <sup>c</sup>	16 <sup>b</sup>	0	0	
33 <sup>a</sup>	31 <sup>c</sup>	29 <sup>b</sup>	27 <sup>b</sup>	21 <sup>a</sup>	19 <sup>c</sup>	0	0	
	Concer 6,25 33 <sup>a</sup> 36 <sup>b</sup> 34 <sup>c</sup> 32 <sup>c</sup> 33 <sup>a</sup>	Concentrations   6,25 3,12   33 <sup>a</sup> 30 <sup>a</sup> 36 <sup>b</sup> 33 <sup>b</sup> 34 <sup>c</sup> 31 <sup>c</sup> 32 <sup>c</sup> 30 <sup>c</sup> 33 <sup>a</sup> 31 <sup>c</sup>	Concentrations (mg/mL)   6,25 3,12 1,56   33 <sup>a</sup> 30 <sup>a</sup> 28 <sup>a</sup> 36 <sup>b</sup> 33 <sup>b</sup> 29 <sup>b</sup> 34 <sup>c</sup> 31 <sup>c</sup> 27 <sup>c</sup> 32 <sup>c</sup> 30 <sup>c</sup> 28 <sup>a</sup> 33 <sup>a</sup> 31 <sup>c</sup> 29 <sup>b</sup>	Concentrations (mg/mL)   6,25 3,12 1,56 0,78   33 <sup>a</sup> 30 <sup>a</sup> 28 <sup>a</sup> 24 <sup>a</sup> 36 <sup>b</sup> 33 <sup>b</sup> 29 <sup>b</sup> 25 <sup>b</sup> 34 <sup>c</sup> 31 <sup>c</sup> 27 <sup>c</sup> 24 <sup>a</sup> 32 <sup>c</sup> 30 <sup>c</sup> 28 <sup>a</sup> 24 <sup>a</sup> 33 <sup>a</sup> 31 <sup>c</sup> 27 <sup>c</sup> 24 <sup>a</sup>	Concentrations (mg/mL)   6,25 3,12 1,56 0,78 0,39   33 <sup>a</sup> 30 <sup>a</sup> 28 <sup>a</sup> 24 <sup>a</sup> 21 <sup>a</sup> 36 <sup>b</sup> 33 <sup>b</sup> 29 <sup>b</sup> 25 <sup>b</sup> 22 <sup>b</sup> 34 <sup>c</sup> 31 <sup>c</sup> 27 <sup>c</sup> 24 <sup>a</sup> 20 <sup>c</sup> 32 <sup>c</sup> 30 <sup>c</sup> 28 <sup>a</sup> 24 <sup>a</sup> 20 <sup>c</sup> 33 <sup>a</sup> 31 <sup>c</sup> 29 <sup>b</sup> 21 <sup>a</sup>	Concentrations (mg/mL) $6,25$ $3,12$ $1,56$ $0,78$ $0,39$ $0,19$ $33^{a}$ $30^{a}$ $28^{a}$ $24^{a}$ $21^{a}$ $18^{a}$ $36^{b}$ $33^{b}$ $29^{b}$ $25^{b}$ $22^{b}$ $16^{b}$ $34^{c}$ $31^{c}$ $27^{c}$ $24^{a}$ $20^{c}$ $20^{c}$ $32^{c}$ $30^{c}$ $28^{a}$ $24^{a}$ $20^{c}$ $16^{b}$ $33^{a}$ $31^{c}$ $29^{b}$ $27^{b}$ $21^{a}$ $19^{c}$	Concentrations (mg/mL) $6,25$ $3,12$ $1,56$ $0,78$ $0,39$ $0,19$ $0,09$ $33^{a}$ $30^{a}$ $28^{a}$ $24^{a}$ $21^{a}$ $18^{a}$ $0$ $36^{b}$ $33^{b}$ $29^{b}$ $25^{b}$ $22^{b}$ $16^{b}$ $0$ $34^{c}$ $31^{c}$ $27^{c}$ $24^{a}$ $20^{c}$ $20^{c}$ $0$ $32^{c}$ $30^{c}$ $28^{a}$ $24^{a}$ $20^{c}$ $16^{b}$ $0$ $33^{a}$ $31^{c}$ $29^{b}$ $27^{b}$ $21^{a}$ $19^{c}$ $0$	

#### Table IV: Diameters (mm) of Zones of Inhibition Obtained with Oxacillin (Control)

### **3.3.** Antibacterial parameters of the different plant extracts:

The antibacterial parameters (MIC, CMB) and the CMB / MIC ratio of the action of the plant extracts and oxacillin on the *in vitro* growth of the strains tested are recorded in Table V. The analysis of the results of this table, indicates that ETA has a bactericidal action on E. coli strains with CMBs between 6.25 mg / mL and 25 mg / mL. The recorded CMBs were double the MICs (CMB = 2 MIC). In contrast to the E. coli strains, ETA recorded bacteriostatic action on S. *flexneri* and S. *typhi* with CMB = 100 mg / mL, which is 4 to 16 times higher than the MBC of E. coli strains. This assumes that ETA is 4 to 16 times more active on E. coli strains than S. flexneri and S. typhi. As for the action of EE70% and Oxacillin, on the strains of the present study. MIC values ranged from 1.56 to 25 mg / mL. The CMB / MIC ratios varied from 1 to 2, thus showing the bactericidal effect of the extracts and the reference molecule (Fauchere and Avril, 2002). According to these authors, a substance is said to be bactericidal when the CMB / MIC ratio is  $\leq 2$ , and bacteriostatic when this ratio is > 2. Oxacillin was more active than plant extracts in recording MICs = 1.56 mg / mL. This situation is explained by the fact that the extracts are unpurified crude extracts whereas the commercial antibiotic is a pure molecule. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Soma, (2002) during the study of extracts of Euphorbia hirta. A similar study conducted with another ethanolic extract of G. kola seeds on various bacterial and fungal strains yielded MIC values ranging from 2.5 to 7 mg / mL (Akerele et al. 2008). These authors obtained from the ethanolic extract seeds of G. kola, a MIC of 5 mg / ml against E. coli. This value is different from that argued in this study. Several reasons may justify the observed differences. Some authors have reported that the different components of plant extracts have different degrees of activity against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria (Dorman and Deans, 2000) and that the chemical composition of the same extract may vary according to several intrinsic factors and extrinsic (Lahlou, 2004). In addition, the literature teaches that the same bacterial species do not also have the same sensitivity to an antimicrobial agent. Thus, in a given bacterial family, an individual difference in



KONE Monon et al, The Experiment, 2019, Vol 47 (3), 2671-2682

sensitivity can be observed (Rath et al., 2009). The chemical study of *G. kola* seeds by some authors revealed that these seeds contain high content of phenolic compounds including flavonoids and tannins (Ijomone*et al.*, 2012). The antimicrobial activity of these chemicals substance has already been shown by several authors (Jayasinghe*et al.*, 2003, Konaté*et al.*, 2012). Moreover, phenolic compounds are known to be toxic to microorganisms and would be targeted envelopes such as the cytoplasmic membrane and the wall. The antimicrobial effect is linked to their free hydroxyl groups, which allows good solubilization in the medium (Ben *et al.*, 2006). Thus, the antibacterial activity of *G. kola* seeds in the present study could be justified by these chemical compounds it contains.

	Extracts	Antibacter	ial Parameters		Effect
Bacterial strains		(mg/mL)			
		CMI	CMB		
E. coli 25922	ETA	3,12	6,25	2	Bactericidal
	EE <sub>70%</sub>	3,12	6,25	2	Bactericidal
	OX	1,56	1,56	1	Bactericidal
E. coli 205/19	ETA	6,25	12,5	2	Bactericidal
	EE <sub>70%</sub>	3,12	6,25	2	Bactericidal
	OX	0,78	1,56	2	Bactericidal
<i>E. coli</i> 206/19	ETA	12,5	25	2	Bactericidal
	EE70%	12,5	25	2	Bactericidal
	OX	0,78	1,56	2	Bactericidal
S. flexneri	ETA	25	100	4	Bacteriostatic
	EE <sub>70%</sub>	6,25	12,5	2	Bactericidal
	OX	1,56	3,12	2	Bactericidal
S. typhi	ETA	25	100	4	Bacteriostatic
	EE <sub>70%</sub>	12,5	25	2	Bactericidal
	OX	1,56	1,56	1	Bactericidal

### Table V: Compared Antibacterial Parameters and Their Interpretation

ETA: Total Aqueous Extract; EE70%: Hydroethanol extract 70%; MIC: Minimal Inhibitory Concentration; CMB: Minimal Bactericidal Concentration.



### **Conclusion:**

The *in-vitro* study of aqueous and 70% ethanol extracts of the seeds of *G. kola* made it possible to highlight the antibacterial properties of this plant on the growth of the *Enterobacteriaceae* studied. The results obtained reveal the presence of antibacterial active principles in the aqueous and ethanol extracts 70%. The results showed a bactericidal effect of the aqueous extract on the strains of E. coli, but bacteriostatic on those of *S. flexneri* and *S. typhi*. As for ethanol extract 70% and Oxacillin, they exerted a bactericidal action on all strains studied. This bactericidal effect observed is dose dependent. The sensitivity of these enteric strains to the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of G. kola seeds is of great importance in the treatment of pathologies associated with them. The present results justify certain ethnopharmacological uses of *G. kola* seeds. This study demonstrates that the seeds of this plant can be used to treat infectious diseases. In view of the results, it would be interesting to undertake studies in order to evaluate the toxicity then purify the most active ethanolic extract of the seeds of this plant and consider the development of improved traditional medicines (MTA).

### **References:**

- 1. Aboya M. J. Résistance bactérienne et phytomolécule antimicrobienne issues de Morindamorindoïdes. Thèse de Doctorat de l'Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, 2013 ; 184 p
- Ackah A. J., Kra A. M., Zirihi G. N. &Guédé-Guina F. Evaluation of the antifungalactivity of TEKAM, évaluation de l'activité antifongique de tekam, un extrait de plante, sur la croissance in vitro de candida albicans. Rev. Ivoir. Sci.Technol ; 2008 ;11 :119-129.
- Adama L., Lambouado F.L., Innocent P. G., Jean-Baptiste N. Médecine traditionnelle et maladies des reins au Burkina FasoTraditionalmedicine in kidneydiseases in Burkina FasoNéphrologie & Thérapeutique, 2010; 6:35-39
- Adebisi, A. A. Case study of Garcinia kola nut production-to-consumption system in J4 area of Omo forest reserve, South-west Nigeria. For. Prod. Livelihoods Conserv. Case Stud. Non-Timber For. Prod. Syst., 2004; 2: 115–132.
- 5. Akerele J. O., Obasuyi O., Ebomoyi M. I., Oboh I. E. &Uwumarongie O. H. Antimicrobial activity of the ethanol extract and fractions of the seeds of Garcinia kolaHeckel (Guttiferae). African Journal of Biotechnology, 2008; 7: 169-172.
- 6. Akinyemi A. I. & Ogundare A. O. Antibacterial Properties of the Leaf Extracts of Anthocleistadjalonensis A. Chev on Some Pathogenic Organisms, European Journal of Medicinal Plants, 2014; 4: 75-85.
- 7. Bagré I., Bahi C., Ouattara K., Zirihi G. N., Djaman A. J., Coulibaly A. & N'guessan J. D. Étude botanique et exploration de l'activité antifongique de Morindamorindoides (Baker) Milne-Redh. sur la croissance in vitro de Cryptococcusneoformans. Phytothérapie, 2007; 9: 136-141.



KONE Monon et al, The Experiment, 2019, Vol 47 (3), 2671-2682

- 8. Ben A., &Khedher M. Frequency and antibiotic resistance in uropathogenicbacteriain the university hospital taharsfar. Revue Tunisienned'Infectiologie, 2010; 4: 57-61.
- 9. Dorman H. J. D. & Deans S. G. Antimicrobial agents from plants: antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 2000; 88: 308-316.
- 10. Fauchere l-L, Avril J-L. Bactériologie Générale et Médicale. Editions Ellipses : paris.2002
- Guessennd N. K., Kacou-N'douba A., Gbonon V., Yapi D., Ekaza E., Dosso M. &Courvalin P. Prévalence et profil de résistance des entérobactéries productrices de béta-lactamases à spectre élargi (BLSE) à Abidjan Côte d'Ivoire de 2005 à 2006." Journal Sciences of Pharmacology and Biology, 2008; 9: 63-70.
- Ijomone O. M., Nwoha P. U., Olaibi O. K., Obi A. U. & Alese M. O. Neuroprotective Effects of Kolaviron, a Biflavonoid Complex of Garcinia kola, on Rats Hippocampus against Methamphetamine-Induced Neurotoxicity. Maced. J. Med. Sci., 2012; 5, 10–16.
- Jayasinghe L., Malika K. B., Nishantha J. K., Gayathri U. N., Ratnayake B., Noriyuki H. &Yoshinory F. Antifungal constituents of the stem bark of Brideliaretusta. Phytochemistry, 2003; 62: 637-641.
- 14. Jun L., Kunihiko N., Marilyn C. R., Marcelo T., Rustam I. A.&Lixin Z..Mechanisms of antibioticresistance, frontiers in microbiology, 2015 ;6 :1-3
- 15. Koffi A. C., Koffi-Nevry R., Kouassi K. C. &Loukou Y. G. Activité des extraits de six variétés de piment (Capsicum) utilisés en Côte d'Ivoire. Journal of Applied Biosciences, 2014 ;82 :7379–7388.
- 16. Konan K. F., Guessennd K. N., Oussou K.R., Bahi C., Coulibaly A., Djaman A.J. et Dosso M. Effet antibactérien de l'extrait aqueux de l'écorce de TerminaliaglaucescensPlanch ex Benth (Combretaceae) sur la croissance in vitro des entérobactéries productrices de bêta-lactamases à spectre élargi (EBLSE), Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci., 2014;8: 1192-1201
- Konate K., Hilou A., Mavoungou F. J., Lepengue N. A., Souza A., Barro N., Datte J., M'Batchi B. &Nacoulma G. O. Antimicrobial activity of polyphenol – rich fractions from Sida alba L. (Malvaceae) against co – trimoxazol – resistant bacteria strains. Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobial, 2012; 11: 5-11.
- 18. Lahlou M. Methods to study phytochemistry and bioactivity of essential oils. PhytotherapyResearch, 2004 ;18 : 435-448.
- 19. Larabi K., Masmoudi A. Fendri C. Étude bactériologique et phénotypes de résistance des germes responsables d'infections urinaires dans un CHU de Tunis : à propos de 1930 cas. Medecine et maladies infectieuses2003;33: 348-352
- 20. Livermore D. M. β-Lactamases in laboratory and Clinical Resistance. Clinical Microbiology Reviews,1995; 8: 557-584
- 21. Oluremi B. B., Osungunna M.O.&Omatuma O.O. Comparative assessment of antibacterial activity of Uvariachamae parts. African Journal of Microbiology Research, 2010; 4: 1391-1394.



KONE Monon et al, The Experiment, 2019, Vol 47 (3), 2671-2682

- Onayade O. A., Looman A. M. G., Scheffer J. J. & Gbile Z. O. Lavender lactone and other volatile constituents of the oleoresin from seeds of Garcinia kolaHeckel. Flavour Fragr. J., 1998; 13, 409–412.
- 23. Pitout J. D., Hanson N. D., Church D.L. &Lanpland K.B. Population-based laboratory surveillance for Escherichia coli producing extended-spectrum β-lactamases importance of community isolates with bla CTX-M. Genes. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 2004; 38: 1736-1741
- 24. Ponce A. G., Fritz R., Del A. C. & Roura S. I. Antimicrobial activity of essential oil on the native microflora of organic Swiss chard. Lebensmittel-Wissenschaftund Technologic, 2003; 36: 679-684
- 25. Quy Diem Do, Angkawijay AE, TranNguyen PL, Huynh LH, Soetaredjo FE, Isadj S, Ju YH. Effect of extraction solvent on total phenol content, total flavonoids content, and antioxidant activity of Limnophilaaromatica. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis. 2014; 22:296-302
- Rath S. K., Mohapatra N., Dubey D., Panda S. K., Thatoi H. N. & Dutta S. K. Antimicrobial activity of Diospyrosmelanoxylon bark from similipal biosphere reserve, Orissa, India. African Journal of Biotechnology, 2009; 8: 1924-1928.
- 27. Rocio B., Cristina I. C., Luis M. P., Salvador S. & Gloria M. M. Antimicrobial activity of Diospyrosanisanda. Fitoterapia, 2007; 78: 370-372.
- 28. Soma O. B. Activité antibactérienne d'extraits d'Euphorbiahirta (Linn), une plante utilisée traditionnellement dans le traitement des infections urinaires. Thèse de Doctorat en pharmacie. Université de Ouagadougou. Burkina Faso. 2002 ;105p
- 29. Soro D., Kone M. W. &Kamanzi K. Evaluation des activités antimicrobiennes et antiradicaux libres de quelques taxons bioactifs de Côte d'Ivoire. European journal of Scientific research, 2010 ;40 : 307-317.
- 30. Sylvie C. La résistance aux antiobiotiques, un enjeu de santé publique important. Pharmactuel, 2009; 42: 6-21.
- Toure A., Bahi C., Ouattara K., Djaman A. J. & Coulibaly A.Phytochimical screening and in vitro antifungical activities of extracts of leaves of Morindamorindoides (Rubiaceae). Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, 2011;5: 6780-6786.
- 32. Vinod K. G., Amit R., Vikas K. N. & Kalishankar M.Antimicrobial activity of Spondiaspinnata resin. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, 2010; 4: 1656-1661
- 33. Yété P, Togbé A, Koudoro Y, Agbangnan P, Ndahischimiye V, Djènontin TS, Wotto D, Azandégbé EC. Sohounhloue D.Etude comparative des composes phénoliques et activité antiradicalaire des extraits des Graines de Garcinia kola (Guttifféraea) et de Cucumeropsisedulis (Cucurbitaceae) du Bénin. International Journal of Innovation and Scientific Research, 2015 ;15 :217-227.

ISSN-2319-2119

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



KONE Monon et al, The Experiment, 2019, Vol 47 (3), 2671-2682

# Authors & Affiliation

# OUATTARA Karamoko<sup>3</sup>, KONE Monon<sup>1</sup>\*, OUATTARA Abou<sup>2</sup>, DIBI Koffi Saint Didier<sup>3</sup>, KONE Mariam, BAGRE Issa<sup>3</sup>, TOURE Abdoulaye

- 1. Faculty of Biological Sciences, Department of Biochemistry-Genetics, PeleforoGonCoulibaly University of Korhogo, BP 1328 Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire
- 2. Faculty of Agroforestry, Department of Biochemistry-Microbiology, Jean LorougnonGuédé University of Daloa, BP 150 Daloa, Côte d'Ivoire
- 3. Faculty of Biosciences, Laboratory of pharmacodynamic-Biochimistry, Felix Houphouët-Boigny University, 22 BP 582 Abidjan 22, Côte d'Ivoire.